



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Applied Life Sciences International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JALSI_49439
Title of the Manuscript:	LEVEL OF ADOPTION OF IMPROVED CASSAVA TECHNOLOGIES IN BENUE STATE
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The research question is clearly stated. The theoretical framework are creative. The research question is explored in a way that is creative and important to the discipline. The methodology is clearly explained. The empirical data, quantitative are analyzed in appropriate ways, and written up in ways that are easy to understand. The study conclusions supported are by the analysis. The analysis adequately address the issues raised by the framework.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Elżbieta Szczepankiewicz
Department, University & Country	Poznan University of Economics and Business, Poland