



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Microbiology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMB_46686
Title of the Manuscript:	Antibacterial activity of Anabaena circinalis isolated from fresh water comparison with some antibiotic
Type of the Article	Short Research Articles

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The article needs to be improved in its writing, grammar and English. It is imperative that it be reviewed by a translator. The introduction is outdated, citing very old articles and only one appointment corresponds to the year 2014, which is the most updated. There is no connection between one paragraph and another. It must be improved in its entirety. With respect to the material and methods, the use of controls with commercial antibiotics is not observed, but results are presented with these. I do not observe repetitions, and less statistical analysis, which do not need to be very elaborate for this type of research. The presentation of results is poor. The graphics, even when they are on the same scale, are confusing. The discussion of results is very basic and far from what is being investigated. The bibliography should be updated and cited correctly and in a similar way, both in the text and at the end.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Leticia Barrientos Díaz
Department, University & Country	Universidad de La Frontera. Chile