



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Microbiology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMB_46727
Title of the Manuscript:	ASSESSING FOOD SAFETY IMPLICATIONS OF MULTI ANTIBIOTIC RESISTANT FERMENTED-FOOD-CONDIMENT-ENVIRONMENT-ADAPTED BACTERIAL SPECIES
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Scientific Comments</p> <p>1- Very important comment, which the authors should give more attention about: the article suffered from missing many important bibliographies in adulteration, fermented foods and food safety fields should be cited in the introduction section such as:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - El Sheikha AF. (2018). DNAFoil: novel technology for the rapid detection of food adulteration. Trends in Food Science & Technology. DOI: 10.1016/j.tifs.2018.11.012 - El Sheikha AF, Hu DM. (2018). Molecular Techniques Reveal More Secrets of Fermented Foods. Critical Reviews in Food Science and Nutrition (CRFSN). DOI: 10.1080/10408398.2018.1506906 - El Sheikha AF, Ray RC, Montet D, Panda SH, Worawattanamateekul W. (2014). African fermented fish products in scope of risks: a review. International Food Research Journal (IFRJ), 21 (2): 425-432. - El Sheikha AF*. (2015). Food safety issues in Saudi Arabia. Nutrition and Food Technology, 1 (1): 1-4. DOI: 10.16966/nftoa.103 <p>2- Abstract: - The authors should reorganize as following order: (Problem of research, aim of study, remarkable methodology, remarkable results, and significance of study).</p> <p>3- Keywords: - The authors should replace them by shorter and descriptive ones.</p> <p>4- Introduction: - Please apply the above-mentioned remarks.</p> <p>Editorial Comments</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - The authors should improve the language over all the manuscript. - The authors should apply the guidelines for authors in general on whole manuscript (e.g., correct many orthographic errors, scientific names, some units, etc.). <p>Conclusions:</p> <p>The manuscript will need major revisions before reconsidering it to publish.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments		



SDI Review Form 1.6

<u>Optional/General</u> comments		
----------------------------------	--	--

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes. Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Aly Farag El Sheikha</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>McMaster University, Canada</i>