SCIENCEDOMAIN international

www.sciencedomain.org



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Microbiology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMB_47313
Title of the Manuscript:	ANTIMICROBIAL SUCEPTIBILITY PATTERN OF MICRORGANISMS ISOLATED FROM DIFFERENT TAPS WATER SURFACES IN FUTA (OBAKEKERE CAMPUS)
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that <u>NO</u> manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '<u>lack of Novelty'</u>, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The manuscript is basically correct, I have no major objections. However, there are minor errors throughout the manuscript. The the paper concise. The title and abstract reflect the content and emphasize the paper's interest, but the description of the results in the abstract is fragmentary and inconsistent with the results (e.g. what it means "resistance to piperacillin (100%)"). I am not a specialist in the field of statistics and it is difficult for me to assess the correctness of the applied statistical analysis. The results should be briefly presented in the Results section instead of just referring to the Tables. Some conclusions presented in the discussion are not direct result of the study (like "The high prevalence of <i>K. pneumoniae</i> in taps water surfaces was due to high persistence adherence to iron pipe and the ability to caused resistance to commonly available antibiotics occur as result of it high virulence factor") - these are only speculations based on the research of other authors and they should be presented in this way.	
Minor REVISION comments	 There are minor errors throughout the manuscript that should be corrected: There are many spelling mistake in the species names of bacteria (e.g. A. bourmanii, Staphyloscoccus; under the Table 3: CL-??.AML- Amocilin, S3-Compound sulphurnamide). Last sentence in the "Isolation and identification of microorganisms" section presents an illogical sequence of events ("The dilution was () collected and poured plated on already prepared media () and sterilized by autoclaving") Figure 1 is difficult to understand at first glance. What do the numerical values on the on the y-axis mean? In Table 1, please explain the abbreviation NG 	
Optional/General comments	Research is interesting, it complement knowledge about antibiotic resistance and epidemiological risk.	

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)

SCIENCEDOMAIN international www.sciencedomain.org



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

		Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Justyna Mazurek- Popczyk
Department, University & Country	University of Zielona Góra, Poland

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)