



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Advances in Microbiology</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMB_47408
Title of the Manuscript:	Genomic characterization and Phylogenetic analysis of Tomato leaf curl virus (ToLCV) from Bangladesh
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Manuscript is not written in standard English. Needs to undergo typographical and grammatical corrections.</li> <li>2. Authors did not follow recent ICTV guidelines in naming or acronyms of viruses. See ICTV website.</li> <li>3. See Zerbini et al., 2017 for recent classification of family <i>Geminiviridae</i></li> <li>4. Major flaw is repeating virus names not their acronyms. Should be corrected all over the manuscript.</li> <li>5. Fig.2 C: Band A looks higher than B. Explain.</li> </ol>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	Minor corrections are suggested in the manuscript with track changes.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	In this manuscript, authors cloned, sequenced tomato leaf curl virus (ToLCV) and associated betasatellites causing leaf curl disease in tomato. The experimentations have been carried out using standard protocols and results have been presented in lucid way with appropriate graphic representation. Based on the novel information and significant number of conclusions are presented in this manuscript. Therefore, based on the above comments, I suggest "minor revisions" of this manuscript.	

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	



SDI Review Form 1.6

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b><i>Kanakala Surapathrudu</i></b>
Department, University & Country	<b><i>Iowa State University, USA</i></b>