Resultado da análise

Arquivo: Ms_JAMB_47618.docx

Estatísticas

Suspeitas na Internet: 19,69%
Percentual do texto com expressões localizadas na internet ⚠

Suspeitas confirmadas: 52,49%
Confirmada existência dos trechos suspeitos nos endereços encontrados ⚠

Texto analisado: 71,17%
Percentual do texto efetivamente analisado (frases curtas, caracteres especiais, texto quebrado não são analisados).

Sucesso da análise: 100%
Percentual das pesquisas com sucesso, indica a qualidade da análise, quanto maior, melhor.

Endereços mais relevantes encontrados:

Endereço (URL)	Ocorrências	Seme
http://typeset.io/formats/american-society-of-civil-engineers/journal-of-pipeline-systems-engineering-and-practice/59846b1e6f4a4ed597ddae37ad243201	46	2,17 %
http://www.letpub.com/index.php?journalid=9838&page=journalapp&view=detail	31	2,46 %
https://www.coou.edu.ng/journals/ajest/vol_3_iss_1/microbial deterioration of paints.pdf	29	14,35
https://link.springer.com/article/10.1186/2190-4715-24-36	26	9,53 %
https://www.researchgate.net/publication/306293329_DETERIORATION_OF_PAINTED_WALL_SURFACE_BY_FUNGAL_SAPROBES_ISOLATION_AND_IDENTIFICATION	22	4,93 %
https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17722542	22	1,93 %

Texto analisado:

Original Research Article
Biodeterioration of classroom wall surfaces in the University of Port Harcourt, Nigeria

ABSTRACT
This study investigated the biodeterioration of classroom wall surfaces in the University of Port Harcourt, Nigeria. The microbiological and physicochemical parameters of the classroom wall surfaces were determined. The population of culturable bacterial and fungal biodeteriogens was determined by plating. Antibiotic susceptibility pattern of the bacterial isolates was determined using the disc diffusion method. The total culturable heterotrophic bacterial counts ranged from 6.48 to 8.23 log CFU/g while the total fungal counts ranged from 5.00 to 7.28 log CFU/g. The bacterial isolates identified by biochemical characterization and their frequency of occurrence are Micrococcus spp. (7.3%), Citrobacter spp. (3.2%), Bacillus spp. (39.1%), Serratia spp. (3.2%), Corynebacterium spp. (10.9%), Staphylococcus aureus (20.1%), Proteus spp. (9.2%) and Shigella spp (7.0%). The fungal isolates and their frequency of occurrence are Aspergillus flavus (39.1%), Penicillium spp. (20.1%), Microsporium canis (14.3%), Coccidioides spp. (10.9%), Aspergillus fumigates (3.2%) and Tricophyton spp (3.2%). All antibiotics used showed activity against all bacterial isolates except Proteus spp. From the results of the physicochemical parameters, pH values ranged from 6.15 to 9.01, nitrate ranged from 5.30 to 14.83 mg/kg, phosphate ranged 2.19 to 5.94 mg/kg, sulphate ranged from 12.97 to 19.07 mg/kg and Total Organic Carbon ranged from 74.89 to 119.43 mg/kg. This study has shown the potential public health risk associated with classroom building deterioration owing to the presence of pathogenic microorganisms. Therefore, measures towards prevention and mitigation of classroom building biodeterioration should be in place.
Keywords: Biodeterioration, buildings, public health risk, antibiotics, resistance

1.0 Introduction
Biodeterioration can be defined as undesirable changes to a product or substance or material, influenced by living organisms. Organisms are able to interact with nutrients and material environment to form specific communities. This interaction and association could bring about many physical and chemical destructive processes. Both biotic and abiotic activities contribute simultaneously during the deterioration of building materials. Hence, the level of biodeterioration is difficult to quantify due to the involvement of uncontrollable external (abiotic) factors. However, the involvement of microorganisms in biodeterioration of materials in the environment has been estimated to be up to 30% in the United States [1].
Buildings, just like every other material are subject to microbial colonization, deterioration and degradation or "weathering". Architectural structures including buildings and bridges in contact with water, soil, waste, sewage, plant materials or any organic matter, can undergo deterioration. The hard and firm nature of these structures only limits the biodeterioration process to a slow, eventual and inevitable process of corrosion after microbial colonization, under conducive conditions [2]. The presence of utilizable substrates as part of the building components makes some building more prone to microbial deterioration. For examples, pigment, thinner, binder and drier are the main components of paints used to coat walls, and the most prone to attack by microorganisms [3].
Microorganisms use parts of building components for energy generation [4]. Painted surfaces provide the nutrients and micro-environment for microbial colonization before access to the building proper is later gained. During this attack and colonization, microorganisms produce different forms of corrosive acids which can solubilize the lattice structure [5]. Bacillus spp. for example produce sulphuric acid from the oxidation of reduced sulphur compounds [6].
Common building biodeteriogens include nitrifying bacteria, Cyanobacteria, and Thiobacilli and fungi of genus Aspergillus, Fusarium Penicillium, Alternaria, Tricophyton and Cladosporium [1,5]. The major environmental parameters affecting biodeterioration are water availability, humility, temperature, UV light and inadequate ventilation [7].
Despite the widespread knowledge of building deterioration, research on biodeterioration is lagging. It is curious though, as studies have pointed to the severe impact of paint components and their degradation products on human health [8,9]. Spoilage of building components come with proliferation of undesirable microorganisms and their degradation products. Consequently, human health and the environment are threatened. This study aimed to assess the microbiological and physicochemical properties of deteriorating painted building surfaces of University of Port Harcourt Faculties and the health implication on students.

2.0 MATERIALS AND METHOD
2.1 Collection of Samples
Samples from visibly deteriorating classroom painted building surfaces were collected under aseptic conditions from selected Faculties of University of Port Harcourt. Samples were gotten by scraping off superficial material to a depth of 2-5 mm. Samples were moved to the laboratory for immediate analyses.

2.2 Isolation and Enumeration of Bacterial and Fungal Isolates

One (1) g sample of superficial scrapings was transferred into 9 ml sterile normal saline to make a stock solution. One (1) ml was pipette aseptically into a test tube containing 9ml of normal saline to make 10-1 - 10-5 dilutions. Nutrient agar and potato dextrose agar were prepared used for plating out the diluted samples. Triplicate plates were inoculated with 0.1ml aliquot of each dilution and spread using a flame sterilized hockey stick. Bacterial plates were incubated at 370C for 24 hours while fungal plates were incubated at 270C for 48-72 hours. The number of colonies that developed from each plate ranging between 30 and 300 after incubation was counted and recorded.

The bacterial isolates were identified based on their cultural and biochemical characteristics with reference to Holt et al. [10]. Morphological characteristics such as shape, colour, arrangement of spores, structure of the mycelium, and structure of hyphae and arrangement of sporangiophores were used in identifying the fungal isolates as described in Ellis et al. [11].

2.3 Physicochemical Analyses

The pH of building surface was measured in situ using a pH meter JENWAY 3071, model pH 82 (degree of accuracy 0.01) equipped with a temperature probe. Determination nitrate, sulphate phosphate and Total Organic Carbon were carried out according to Anyanwu et al. [12].

2.4 Bacterial antibiotic susceptibility test

Isolated bacteria were subjected to antibiogram test. Susceptibility test was done using Muller Hinton agar with antibiotics discs effective against gram positive and gram negative bacteria. Following overnight incubation at 37°C, zones of inhibition (ZI) were determined and interpreted as sensitive, intermediate, or resistant for each of the assayed antimicrobial agent. Components of the antibacterial discs used include Erythromycin, Septrin, Ofloxacin, Gentamycin, Ampiclox, Pefloxacin, Amoxacillin, Rocephin, Cirpoflaxicin, Streptomycin and Zinnacef.

2.5 Statistical Analysis

The physicochemical parameters for the different samples were analyzed using one-way Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) with the SPSS vs 20 software.

3.0 RESULTS
3.1 Total Culturable Heterotrophic Bacterial Counts and Fungal Counts
The total culturable heterotrophic bacterial counts and total fungal counts are shown in Figure 1 and 2 respectively. Total culturable heterotrophic bacterial counts from the deteriorating buildings ranged from 6.48 to 8.23 log CFU/g while the control sample (non-deteriorated building) had 3.79 log CFU/g. Total spore counts from deteriorating buildings ranged from 5.00 to

7.28 log cfu/g. Control sample had the least count with 2.92 log CFU/g.

Figure 1: Bacterial counts obtained from classroom wall scrapings

Keys:

A= Dept of Marketing fin lecture Hall 1, B=Dept of crops &soil science, C=Faculty of Social Science, D=Dept of Human Physiology, E=Dept of Economics, F=Dept of Petroleum Engineering, G=Science MBS5, H=Dept of Educational Foundational, I=Dept of Fine Art &Design, J=Dept of Pharmaceutical

Figure 2: Fungal counts obtained from classroom wall scrapings

Keys:
Idem

3.2 Bacterial and Fungal Biodeteriogens from wall scrapings

The bacterial and fungal biodeteriogens isolated from wall scrapings and their percentage frequencies of occurrence are presented in Tables 1 and 2 respectively. The bacterial biodeteriogens include Micrococcus spp. (7.3%), Citrobacter spp. (3.2%), Bacillus spp. (39.1%), Serratia spp. (3.2%), Corynebacterium spp. (10.9%), Staphylococcus aureus. (20.1%), Proteus spp. (9.2%) and Shigella spp (7.0%). Bacillus spp were the highest occurring while Serratia spp. and Citrobacter spp were jointly the least predominant. The fungal biodeteriogens include Aspergillus flavus (39.1%), Penicillium spp. (20.1%), Microsporium canis (14.3%), Aspergillus fumigates (3.2%) Coccidioides spp. (10.9%) and Tricophyton spp. (3.2%). Aspergillus flavus was the predominant fungi in the study while Coccidioides spp. and Tricophyton spp. were the least occurring isolates.

Table 1: Bacterial Biodeteriogens from wall scrapings

Organism	% Frequency
Micrococcus spp.	7.3
Citrobacter spp.	3.2
Bacillus spp.	39.1
Serratia spp.	3.2
Corynebacterium spp.	10.9
Staphylococcus aureus	20.1
Proteus spp.	9.2
Shigella spp.	7.0

Table 2: Fungal Biodeteriogens from classroom wall scrapings

Organism	% Frequency
Aspergillus flavus	39.1
Penicillium spp.	20.1
Microsporium canis	14.3
Aspergillus fumigates	3.2
Coccidioides spp.	10.9
Tricophyton spp.	3.2

3.3 Antibiotic Susceptibility Pattern of Bacterial Isolates

Results of the antibiotic susceptibility pattern of bacterial isolates are shown in Table 3. The antibiotics used in the study include Erythromycin, Septrin, Ofloxacin, Gentamycin, Ampiclox, Pefloxacin, Amoxacillin, Rocephin, Cirpoflaxicin, Streptomycin and Zinnacef. Results of the antibiotic susceptibility pattern revealed susceptibility to the antibiotics by all the test organisms except Proteus spp. The antibiotics showed more activity against Bacillus spp. and Citrobacter spp.

Table 3: Antibiotic Sensitivity Pattern of Bacterial Biodeteriogens of classroom wall scrapings

Antibiotic / Zone of inhibition (mm)

Organism
E
SXT
PEF
CN

APX

AM

R

CPX

S

Z

Staphylococcus aureus

0

10

0

0

0

0

0

15

10

0

Micrococcus spp.

10

9

4

12

5

0

0

20

15

8

Citrobacter spp.

20

20

20

20

0

0

20

20

20

0

Proteus spp

0

0

0

0

0

0

0

0

0

0

Shigella spp

20

15

24

20

0

0

10

21

20

0

Bacillus spp.

20

20

20

20

20

24

20

20

22

19

Serratia spp

17

17

21

20

0

0

0

20

20

0

Corynebacterium spp.

0

16

0

0

0

0

0

15

18

0

Resistance range 0-13mm, Sensitive range 15mm and above

Keys:
E= Erythromycin, SXT= Septrin, PEF=pefloxacin, CN=Gentamycin, APX=Ampiciox, AM=Amoxacillin, R=Rocephin, CPX=Cirpoflaxicin, S=Streptomycin, Z= Zinnacef.

3.4 Physicochemical Parameters of Deteriorating Buildings

Physicochemical parameters of deteriorating buildings are shown in Table 4. The pH ranged from 6.15 to 9.01, nitrate ranged from 5.30 to 14.83 mg/kg, phosphate ranged 2.19 to 5.94 mg/kg, sulphate ranged from 12.97 to 19.07 mg/kg and Total Organic Carbon ranged from 74.89 to 119.43 mg/kg. Results for Control sample (non-deteriorating building) were revealed to be pH 6.69; Nitrate 14.62 mg/kg; Phosphate 6.31 mg/kg; Sulphate 18.05 mg/kg; TOC 125.08 mg/kg. Control sample had higher values for Nitrate, Phosphate, Sulphate and TOC.

Table 4: Physicochemical Parameters of Classroom Wall Scrapings

PARAMETER

A

B

C

D

E

F

G

H

I

J

control

pH

8.47

8.59

8.61

7.94

8.43

7.52

9.01

6.15

8.30

7.55

6.69

Nitrate (mg/kg)

5.94

14.83

10.21

9.86

6.47

11.04

9.08

5.64

5.30

7.01

14.62

Phosphate (mg/kg)

5.89

3.88

2.19

4.62

5.85

5.07

5.94

3.41

3.74

3.88

6.31

Sulphate (mg/kg)

17.32

13.37

15.21

17.82

16.93

13.55

19.07

15.61

12.97

16.40

18.05

TOC (mg/kg)

119.43

74.89

93.60

92.71

103.53

87.65

91.70

109.06

89.51

95.75

125.08

Discussion

This study investigated the biodeterioration of classroom wall surfaces in the University of Port Harcourt, Nigeria. The total culturable heterotrophic bacterial counts obtained from deteriorating painted walls ranged from 6.48 to 8.23 log CFU/g while the total fungal counts ranged from 5.00 to 7.28 log CFU/g. The bacterial counts in this study exceeded those reported in a similar study carried out by Shinkafi and Haruna [13], with bacterial counts range of 1.1 x 104 CFU/g and 1.20 x 105 CFU/g were recorded from buildings showing visibly signs of deterioration. The presence bacteria on sampled walls might have been influenced by moisture, as seen in areas with visible discoloration and peelings. The moisture was traced to walls outside which were exposed to rainfalls.

Antimicrobial additives in paint formulation are intended to prevent biodeterioration. However, microorganisms have been reported to breakdown preservatives such the biocides used in paints and other paint components such as binders and resin [9]. The quality of biocides used in paints could be affected by harsh environmental conditions. These environmental conditions could diminish the quality of the paint thereby allowing microorganisms to thrive and colonize these surfaces [14].

From the results of the physicochemical parameter, pH ranged from 6.15 to 9.01, nitrate ranged from 5.30 to 14.83 mg/kg, phosphate ranged 2.19 to 5.94 mg/kg, sulphate ranged from 12.97 to 19.07 mg/kg and TOC ranged from 74.89 to 119.43 mg/kg. The presence of phosphate, sulphate, nitrate and carbon, with pH within the neutral range suggests an appropriate environment for growth. Results of Control sample (non-deteriorating building) were revealed to be pH 6.69; Nitrate 14.62 mg/kg; Phosphate 6.31 mg/kg; Sulphate 18.05 mg/kg; TOC 125.08 mg/kg. While the pH was within the pH of the deteriorating surfaces, nitrate phosphate, suphate and TOC were found to be generally higher but not statistically significant. This further suggests that these nutrients were present in higher concentrations until colonization and biodegradation began where the nutrients were utilized. These physicochemical parameters have effect on microbial growth. Warscheid and Braams [15] reported that pH, climatic factors, nutrient sources among others influence microbial colonization of building. The pH range in this study (6.15 to 9.01) was higher than the 3-6 range reported by Ogu et al. [14] from deteriorating painted buildings.

The bacterial biodeteriogens were Micrococcus spp., Citrobacter spp. (3.2%), Bacillus spp. (39.1%), Serratia spp. (3.2%), Corynebacterium spp., Staphylococcus aureus, Proteus spp., and Shigella spp. Similar bacteria were also isolated from painted surfaces in the study of Okpokwasili and Iteun, [16]. In a similar study by Ogu et al. [14] Micrococcus, Bacillus were isolated from deteriorating walls. Shinkafi and Haruna [13] isolated species of Bacillus and Staphylococcus from deteriorating wall surfaces.

In the present study, Bacillus was the highest occurring bacteria with 39.1%. Bacillus spp. are among the most abundant bacteria in the atmosphere [17] as they are spore formers and therefore can withstand adverse environmental conditions. These organisms might have gained their entrances onto painted surfaces through dust, dirt, soot and contaminants accumulating on the painted surfaces, which may also represent another significant source of nutrients to the microorganisms as alluded to by Ogu et al. [14].

The fungal biodeteriogens include Aspergillus flavus, Penicillium spp., Microsporium canis, Aspergillus fumigates, Coccidioides spp. and Tricophyton spp. [13,14,18-20] also reported similar fungal genera in their respective studies. Previous studies have largely attributed the colonization of buildings by fungi and subsequent deterioration to moisture [13,20]. Hence, it can be said that fungal development on painted surfaces could imply that moisture is absorbed within the room walls and there is sufficient organic material on the walls to support fungal growth and by extension poses health risk to humans through possible inhalation of those spores.

Fungi just like every other living organism require some sets of conditions to strive. Some of these conditions are optimal temperature, nutrient availability, oxygen and relative humidity. For fungi to conveniently colonize a painted surface, these conditions would have either been provided by the paint or the environment. Their ability to form spores makes them highly resistant to high environmental temperature. According to Milica and Jelena[21] fungi are ideally suited as biodeteriogens of buildings due to their morphology and physiology. This further explains their presence on the sampled walls. Elumalai et al. [22] attributed visible discoloration of painted surfaces as signs to possible fungal effect.

Results of the antibiotic susceptibility pattern revealed susceptibility to the antibiotics by all the test organisms except Proteus spp. The antibiotics showed more activity against Bacillus spp. and Citrobacter spp. It is imperative to add antimicrobial additives to paints to mitigate biodeterioration. It is worrisome however that some of the bacterial isolates exhibited resistance to the antibiotics used. Microorganisms are known to cause sick building illnesses [5] and antibiotic resistant genes can be transferred within this environment to further worse the problem of antibiotics resistance.

Conclusion

This study has shown that bacteria are prevalent in deteriorating buildings suggesting they play a critical role as deteriorating agents. The study also showed the diversity and abundance of microorganisms in the affected buildings. Furthermore, the study revealed the influence of some physicochemical parameters (pH, nitrate, sulphate, phosphate and organic carbon) on the microbial bioburden of painted surfaces. The need to control the colonization and proliferation of microorganisms on building surfaces is emphasized.

REFERENCES

1. Videla HA, Herrerii LK. Microbiologically influenced corrosion: looking to the future. International Journal of Microbiology. 2005; 8(3):169-180.

2. Braums JM. Optimizing concrete mixtures. Concrete Inter. 2002; 33-38.

3. Pelcza JR, Michael J, Chan ECS, Noel, RK. Microbiology. Tata McGraw Hill publication company limited 7 west pated naga. Nw Delhi Edn 5th. 2002; pp 851-852.

4. Parker K. Detection, assessment and evaluation of mould in buildings in relation to indoor environment and effects on human health. Report from the R and D-programme climate 2000. Norwegian Building Research Institute.

5. Bock SS, Sand W. Microorganisms, Sick and Building related illness. 2000; Pp. 1107-20.

6. Kelly CJ, Robertson CW, Kuenen HJ. Comparison of Non-destructive testers of hardened concrete. 2002; 84(5):374-386.

7. Singh A. Biodegradation of building material. Biodeterioration of stone surfaces. St. Clair LL and Seaward MRD Ed., Kluwer Academic Publisher, 2004.

8. Mendell MJ. Indoor residential chemical dmissions as risk factors for respiratory and allergic effects in children: a review. Indoor Air. 2007 17(4): 259-77.

9. Ravikumar HR, Rao SS, Karigar CS. Biodegradation of paints: A current status. Indian Journal of Science and Technology. 20012; 5(1): 1977-1987.

10. Holt JG, Krieg NR, Sneath PHA. (Ed.). Bergey's Manual of Determinative Bacteriology (9th Ed.). Lippincott Williams & Wilkins. 1994.

11. Ellis D, Davis S, Alexiou H, Handke R, Bartley R. Descriptions of Medical Fungi. Mycology Unit Women's and Children's Hospital School of Molecular and Biomedical Science University of Adelaide. 2007; pp 1-204.

12. Anyanwu CU, Nwankwo SC, Moneke AN. Soil Bacterial Response to Introduced Metal Stress. International Journal of Basic and Applied Sciences. 2011;11(1): 73-76.

13. Shinkafi SA, Haruna I. Microorganisms associated with deteriorated desurface painted concrete buildings within Sokoto, Nigeria. International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Science. 2013; 2(10): 314-324.

14. Ogu TC, Okaa AI, Ozokpo AC, Onochie CC. Biodeteriorated painted surfaces and In-can paints in Onitsha, Anambra State of Nigeria. African Journal of Education, Science and Technology. 2016; 3(1): 190-196.

15. Warscheid T, Braams J. Biodeterioration of stone: a review. International Biodeterioration. 2000; 46: 343-63.

16. Okpokwasili GC, Iteun A. Fouling Microflora of painted surfaces. Material und Organismen. 1996; 30: 155-159.

17. Hurst CJ. Disinfection of water: drinking water, recreational water and waste water. In: Disinfection, Sterilization and Preservation. (Block, S.S. Ed.) 5th ed. Lipincott Williams & Williams, Philadelphia, P.A, U.S.A. 2001; pP. 1023-1047.

18. Bashir U, Hafeez R. Deterioration of painted wall surface by fungal saprobes: isolation and identification. Pakistan Journal Phytopathology. 2016; 28(1):09-13.

19. Biswas J, Kavita S, Harris KK, Rajput Y. Biodeterioration agents: Bacterial and fungal diversity dwelling in or on the pre-historic rock-paints of Kabra-pahad, India. Iranian Journal of Microbiology. 2013; 5 (3): 309-314.

20. Mamta C, Padma, S. Building Deteriorating Fungi as Biocontaminant. Asian Journal. Exp. Biological. Science. 2012; 3(1): 209 213.

21. Milica VL, Jelena B. Role of Fungi in Biodeterioration Process of Stone in Historic Buildings. Proc. Nat. Sci, Matica Srpska Novi Sad. 2009; 116: 245251.

22. Elumalai P, Elumalai EK, David E. Fungi associated with deteriorations of painted wall surfaces: isolation and identification. European Journal of Academic Essays. 2014; 1(3): 48-50.

Adeyemi, A.O, and Gadd, G.M. (2005). Fungal degradation of calcium, lead and silicon-bearing minerals. Biometals. 18: 269-281.

Ahn, J.H., Kim, Y.J., Kim, T., Song, H.G., Kang, C. and Ka, J.O. (2009). Quantitative improvement of 16S rDNA DGGE analysis for soil bacterial community using real-time PCR. Journal of Microbiological Methods. 78(2):216-222.

Albertano, P., and Bruno L. (2003). The importance of light in the conservation of hypogean monuments. In Molecular Biology and Cultural Heritage. Edited by Saiz-Jimenez C. Balkema, Lisse; 2003.

Allsopp, D., Seal, K. and Gaylarde, C. (2003). Built Environment, Structures, Systems, and Transportation. Introduction to Biodeterioration. Pp 111 - 160

APHA (1985). Standard Methods for the Examination of Water and Wastewater. American Public Health Association, Washington, DC. 1268 pp.

Cifferi, O. (1991). Microbial Degradation of paintings. Journal of Applied Environmental Microbiology. 65:879-885.

Crispim, C.A, Gaylarde P.M, Gaylarde C.C. (2003). Algal and cyanobacterial biofilms on calcareous historic buildings. Curr Microbiol. 46:79-82.

Crispim, C.A., and Gaylarde C.C. (2005). Cyanobacteria and biodeterioration of cultural heritage: A Review. Microbiological Ecology, 49:1-9.

Cruickshank, R., Duguid, J.P., Marmion, B.R. and swain, R.H. (1975): Medical Microbiology, 12th Ed ., Living stone, London, New York, 812-825.

De Muynck, W., Maury, A., De Belie, N., and Verstraete, W. (2009). Evaluation of strategies to prevent algal fouling on white architectural and cellular concret. Elsevier. International Biodeterioration & Biodegradation. 63, 679689.

Dupont, J., Jacquet C., Dennetière B. and Lacoste S. (2007). Invasion of the French Paleolithic painted cave of Lascaux by members of the Fusarium solani species complex. Mycologia. 99:526-533.

Ellis, D., Davis, S., Alexiou, H., Handke, R. and Bartley, R. (2007). Descriptions of Medical Fungi. Mycology Unit Women's and Children's Hospital School of Molecular and Biomedical Science University of Adelaide. Pp 1 204.

Ezenna, E., Stanley, H.O., and Stanley, C.N.(2017). Spoilage Bacteria Associated with Selected Body lotions commonly used amongst Students of the University of Port Harcourt, Nigeria. Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International. 19(5): 1-7.

Gadd, G.M., (2004). Mycotransformation of organic and inorganic substrates. Mycologist, 18:60-70.

Harley, A.D and Gilkes, R.J. (2000). Factors influencing the release of plant nutrient elements from silicate rock powders: a geochemical overview. Nutr Cycl Agroecosyst. 56:11-36.

Hudon, E., Mirza S., and Frigon D. (2011). Biodeterioration of Concrete Sewer Pipes: State of the Art and Research Needs. ASCE. Journal of pipeline systems engineering and practice. 2:42-52

tions and diversity. International Biodeterioration and biodegradation. 2002; 49: 27-37.

Ire, F.S., and Eruteya, O. C. (2017). Antimicrobial susceptibility of spoilage bacteria of Atama (Heinsia critana) soup. International Journal of Current Microbiology Applied science. 6 (4):2664-2672.

Jukes, T. H., and Cantor, C. R. (1969). Evolution of protein molecules. New York: Academic press. Pp. 21-132.

Kaur, G., Siddique R., and Rajor A. (2012). Influence of fungus on properties of concrete made with waste foundry sand. Journal of Materials in Civil Engineering.

Machell, J., and Boxall J. (2011). Field Studies and Modeling Exploring Mean and Maximum Water Age Association to Water Quality in a Drinking Water Distribution Network. ASCE. Journal of Water Resources Planning and Management.

Piñar G, Ripka K, Weber J, and Sterflinger K., (2009). The micro-biota of a sub-surface monument the medieval chapel of St. Virgil (Vienna, Austria). International journal of Astrobiology. 63:851-859.

Prieto, B., Silva, B., Aira, N., and Alvarez, L. (2006). Toward a definition of a bioreceptivity index for granitic rocks: Perception of the cha nge in appearance of the rock. Elsevier. International Biodeterioration & Biodegradation. 58, 150154.

Roldan, M., Clavero E., Castel S. and Hernandez-Marine M., (2004) Biofilms fluorescence and image analysis in hypogean monuments research. Arch Hydrobiology Algologic Studies. 111:127-143.

Saitou, N. and Nei, M. (1987).' The neighbor-joining method: a new method for reconstructing phylogenetic trees.' Molecular Biology and Evolution. 4(4): 406-425.

Sanchez-Silva M. and Rosowsky D. (2008). Biodererioration of Construction Materials: State of the Art and Future Challenges. Journal of materials in civil engineering. 20 (5):352365.

Suihko, L. M., Alakomi L.H., Gorbushina A.A., Fortune I., Marquardt, Saarela, M. (2007). Characterization of Aerobic Bacterial and Fungal Microbiota on Surfaces of Historic Scottish Monuments, Syst. Applied Microbiology. 30: 494-508.

PAGE * MERGEFORMAT 18