



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Microbiology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMB_48423
Title of the Manuscript:	Antibiotic susceptibility testing, plasmid detection and curing of clinically isolated <i>Enterococcal species</i>
Type of the Article	<u>Original Research Article</u>

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Rewrite the methods , it is very waste and no required information contain much more . write only required information (primary or study centric information included) 2. MANUSCRIPT IS VERY EXPENDABLE (NOT EXCEEDS 4000 WORDS) CHECK THE JOURNAL GUIDELINES , MOSTLY INFORMATION NOT REQUIRED.(MAKE MANUSCRIPT LIKE A PROJEACT Report) 3. Conclusion is most suspicious not clear write only net cell of study . 	
Minor REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Resolve various spelling mistake . concern native English speakers 2. Images make perfect by using appropriate image processing software. 	
Optional/General comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. I think use of PCR given a clear and more sensitive picture to plasmid analysis. 	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Mohammad Nadeem Khan
Department, University & Country	Bastar University, India