



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Mathematics and Computer Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMCS_43089
Title of the Manuscript:	MHD MAXWELL REACTIVE FLOW WITH VELOCITY SLIP OVER A STRETCHING SURFACE WITH PRESCRIBED HEAT FLUX IN THE PRESENCE OF THERMAL RADIATION IN A POROUS MEDIUM
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Comments and Suggestions.</p> <p>In this paper, MHD MAXWELL REACTIVE FLOW WITH VELOCITY SLIP OVER A STRETCHING SURFACE WITH PRESCRIBED HEAT FLUX IN THE PRESENCE 6 OF THERMAL RADIATION IN A POROUS MEDIUM is studied. The paper is well organized, even if there are many English language mistakes. The work deserves to be published once that the authors have addresses the comments detailed below</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1- Major result can be described in abstract and Concluding remarks needs to be more précised and compact. 2- Discussion part should be improved, the present discussion cannot explain that what the authors did and what they got? 3- The flow phenomena can be shown with a physical Sketch. 4- Many errors in grammar and spelling can be found in the whole manuscript. English proofreading is required. 5- The effects of skin friction factor, the local Nusslet number and the local Sherwood number can be derived? 6- How do the authors justify the highly controlled parameters? How are these parameters selected and how would the selection affect the results. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Literature review is not very well written and complete. The authors should cite the following much related works to and provide the readers the new progress in nanofluids, rotation and heat generation “Radiative Heat And Mass Transfer Analysis Of Micropolar Nanofluid Flow Of Casson Fluid Between Two Rotating Parallel Plates With Effects Of Hall Current” ASME Journal of Heat Transfer, doi:10.1115/1.4040415 Three dimensional third grade nanofluid flow in a rotating system between parallel plates with Brownian motion and thermophoresis effects. Results Phys. .The electrical MHD and hall current impact on micropolar nanofluid flow between rotating parallel plates. Results Phys. Effects of hall current on steady three dimensional non-newtonian nanofluid in a rotating frame with brownian motion and thermophoresis effects. J. Eng. Technol. Entropy Generation on Nanofluid Thin Film Flow of Eyring–Powell Fluid with Thermal Radiation and MHD Effect on an Unsteady Porous Stretching Sheet, Entropy Three dimensional rotating flow of MHD single wall carbon nanotubes over a stretching sheet in presence of thermal radiation., Applied Nanoscience, https://doi.org/10.1007/s13204-018-0766-0. The Combined Magneto hydrodynamic and electric field effect on an unsteady Maxwell nanofluid Flow over a Stretching Surface under the Influence of Variable Heat and Thermal Radiation. Appl. Sci. 2018, 8, 160, doi:10.3390/app8020160. The Rotating Flow of Magneto Hydrodynamic Carbon Nanotubes over a Stretching Sheet with the Impact of Non-Linear Thermal Radiation and Heat Generation/Absorption” Appl. Sci. 2018, 8, 0; doi: 10.3390/app8040000). 	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Zahir shah</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>Gandhara Institute of Science & Technology , Pakistan</i>