



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Advances in Mathematics and Computer Science</a>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_JAMCS_44846</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Fully Implicit five-quarters Computational Algorithms of order five for Numerical Approximation of Second order IVPs in ODEs</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b><u>Compulsory</u></b> REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The authors could consult a professional for overall proofreading of the article.</li> <li>2. Lines 31-40 should be rewritten for better understanding.</li> <li>3. Equation numbering is not well formatted (Line 60, 65, 75, 85, 106, 126, 134, 139, 142)</li> <li>4. Line 61 seems to be an incomplete sentence</li> <li>5. Line 76, 'grid points' not 'grip points'</li> <li>6. Line 114 should be 'In numerical analysis, it is important that a linear multistep method satisfies...'</li> <li>7. Sentence in Lines 154-155 seems incomplete</li> <li>8. Result presentation in the tables should be improved. The values are mumbled together.</li> <li>9. Each Problem considered in Section 5 should be compared with recent work by other authors. The methods of comparison could be of equal or higher order to the new block method proposed.</li> <li>10. Conclusion should include discussions on the comparisons made based on Comment 9.</li> <li>11. The reference style is not consistent.</li> </ol>	
<b><u>Minor</u></b> REVISION comments		
<b><u>Optional/General</u></b> comments		

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b><i>Adeyeye Oluwaseun</i></b>
Department, University & Country	<b><i>Universiti Utara Malaysia, Malaysia</i></b>