



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Mathematics and Computer Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMCS_46641
Title of the Manuscript:	ON THE MONOTONIC SOLUTIONS OF QUADRATIC INTEGRAL EQUATIONS IN ORLICZ SPACE
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link: (<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Long mathematical statements should be concise. Recommendation: use operator form for formulas page 4-6. There are no number on some formulas that's why it's hard to focus you on concrete one. I meant formula after formula (7) next page and the same after that. It's very hard to read and understand.	
Minor REVISION comments	It would be nice to add explanation as introduction where these results can be used and more citations on research what were done before.	
Optional/General comments	Better to don't use decimal view in a power, for example formula (16) but this way used inside all article.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Kateryna Nesvit
Department, University & Country	V.N. Karazin Kharkiv National University, Ukraine