



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_42284
Title of the Manuscript:	PROGNOSTIC VALUE OF URINE ALBUMEN/CREATININ RATIO IN SEPSIS IN CRITICAL CARE PATIENTS
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	<p>Thanks for giving opportunity to me to review this research article. Than I'd like to congratulate for this paper. I read the paper carefully. This paper can be considered for publication after some minor revision</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Bstract must be written clearly. 2. Introduction section must be shortened. References must be reduced in this section. 3. References must be written according to the journal's rule 4. Minor English polishing should be done <p>Thank you</p>	
Optional/General comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Tolga Dinç
Department, University & Country	Turkey