



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_44938
Title of the Manuscript:	ASSESSMENT OF ANAEMIA IN ADOLESCENT GIRLS AGED BETWEEN 10-19 YEARS OLD ATTENDING St THERESE CLINIC
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>The work analyzes the Hb concentration of 231 adolescent girls of a Rwanda school, of whom therisk factors for anemia were assessed by questionners. It indicates that anemia is common and is asociated with malaria, menarche, family educational status and meal intake frequency. The work can be improved.</p> <p>A plot of the individual Hb data of the three groups would be useful, particularly considering that the mean Hb was 12.2 g/dl, just above the 12 thresold of anemia.</p> <p>Table 3.5 of Fe/folic acid supplementation is useless. In tables 3.3 and 3.6 it would be interesting to know in which group the severe anemic subjects are located. A graphic representation would be more immediate.</p> <p>The data of table 3.7 should be analysed more in detail and presented in a better form or removed. I was suprised by the lack of relationship between anemia and meat intake.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Paolo arosio
Department, University & Country	University of Brescia, Italy