



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_45373
Title of the Manuscript:	The assessment of HE4 in premalign and malign urothelial tumors
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Conclusion is not framed properly the summery seems ambiguous	
Minor REVISION comments	<p>"Cancer "ward is repeated twice. Urinary bladder cancer is most common genitourinary cancer is a generalise statement .Either most prevalent or incidence would have been better sentence</p> <p>In Discussion the comparative study between two studies of HE4 studies would gave better perspective of the antibody sample size and usefulness in the invasive bladder cancer</p>	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Mahesh Deshmukh
Department, University & Country	Rashtra Sant Tukadoji Regional Cancer Center, India