



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_46101
Title of the Manuscript:	Prenatal Exposure to Organophosphate Pesticides in Vojvodina
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The authors appear to use commas instead of decimal points and this tends to make numerical values very confusing. While is it probably correct for the reader to assume that 60,34% means 60.34% does the same apply for the concentrations listed? When reading about 5,714 µg/L is it actually 5.714 µg/L?? Please go through the text and amend accordingly Corrections to language can be found by track changes in the attached manuscript Please state how the samples were anonymised to protect patient privacy while still associating each urine sample to the correct questionnaire	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	It would be best to include in supplementary a copy of the consent form presented to patients and questionnaire used	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> All patients gave informed consent but there is no information about how each sample was barcoded (i.e. associated with a patient and questionnaire without using the patient's name)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Byron Baron
Department, University & Country	University of Malta, Malta