SCIENCEDOMAIN *international*
www.sciencedomain.org

SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_JAMMR_46614**
Title of the Manuscript:	**ABO, Rhesus Blood Groups and Haemoglobin Variants Distribution among Individuals with Helicobacter pylori in Igwuruta-Ali, Rivers State**
Type of the Article	**Original Research Article**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty'**, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	The theme dealt here is important. I have some advice. 1. Abstract should be self-explanatory. One cannot understand that O blood type is more likely to be associated with pylori infection in the Abstract. State the data more definitely in a reader-friendly manner. 2. State "statistics" used. Package name does not indicate statistics method. 3. Table 1 is not needed. 4. In introduction, please delete all parts explaining ABO type, which is common knowledge of doctors. Please reduce Introduction by 1/2. Number of pylori (-) cases is very small. Thus, comparing pylori + vs. – group does not make sense. You can state it in a supplementary manner. But, you hade better compare pylori + (in this study) vs. general population in your area (based on ten-thousands or a million if your country has such data). Comparing between 103 vs. 17! Makes no sense.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	*(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)*	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	***Shigeki Matsubara***
Department, University & Country	***Jichi Medical University, Japan***