



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_46651
Title of the Manuscript:	Correlation between Predictors of Obstructive Sleep Apnoea Among a Group of Nigerians
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link: (<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Conclusion section: "We recommend study of the correlations between other predictors of obstructive sleep apnoea." Is a general sentence, please provide a more specific conclusion. Please provide conclusions related to Nigeria as the study took place in this country and indicate how the result should impact the healthcare system.	
Minor REVISION comments	Discussion section. "Polysomnography is the gold standard for the diagnosis of obstructive sleep apnoea" Indeed, nevertheless it is costly and now OSAS is often diagnosed with cheaper methods. Questionnaires are becoming more widely used. 1) There are several articles that can be included in the discussion section: Corlateanu A, et al. To sleep, or not to sleep – that is the question, for polysomnography. Breathe. 2017; 13: 137–140. Corlateanu A, et al. Obstructive Sleep Apnea and Type 2 Diabetes: Dual Interaction. Current Respiratory Medicine Reviews, 2015, 11, 292-298 2) Please indicate the importance of screening for OSAS in drivers as undiagnosed patients poses risk for the society due to their job.	
Optional/General comments	-	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Serghei Covantev
Department, University & Country	Nicolae Testemitanu State University of Medicine and Pharmacy, Moldova