



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research</a>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_JAMMR_47751</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>The prognostic implication of CD49d expression by flow cytometry and trisomy 12 detection by fluorescent in situ hybridization in chronic lymphocytic leukemia</b>
Type of the Article	

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sd-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. INTRODUCTION :-INTRODUCTION POORLY JUSTIFY YOUR TITLE</li> <li>2. NO CLEAR INDICATION OF MONOCLONAL ANTIBODY EXPLAIN CLEARLY</li> <li>3. TABLE FIGURE TITLE ARREGE PROPERLY AND MENTION ITS MESSURING UNIT PROPEARLY</li> <li>4. DISCUSSION MUST BE EXPALIN ACCORDING TO THEME OF STUDY</li> <li>5. RESULTS EXPLAIN CLEARLY</li> <li>6. MANUSCRPT MAKE MORE EFFICIENT BC USE OF BIG TECHNOLOGY , AS PER USED TECHNOLOGY ALL RETRIVE DATA PRESENTED PRIMARY , PRESENTED THE SECONDRY DATA .</li> </ol>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1.IN TABLES MENTION THE MESSURING THE DATA MESSURING UNIT</li> <li>2. IMPRROVE ENGLISH LANGUAGE</li> </ol>	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. All obtain DATA presented in form of SD</li> <li>2. USE STATISTICAL TOOL FOR MAKE MORE EFFICENT THIS STUDY</li> <li>3. USE 3D GRAPH WITH INTERPTIATION FOR GOOD EXPERSION OF CYTOMETRY AND IMMUNOLOGICAL STUDY</li> </ol>	

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Mohammaad Nadeem Khan</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>School of Studies in Biotechnology, Bastar University, India</b>