SCIENCEDOMAIN international

www.sciencedomain.org



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_48083
Title of the Manuscript:	ASSESSMENT OF MITIGATION MEASURES IN PREVENTING BACTERIAL INFECTIONS IN SELECTED PUBLIC HEALTH CENTRES IN AKURE, ONDO STATE
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that <u>NO</u> manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '<u>lack of Novelty'</u>, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
	ABSTRACT is not prepared to the style of the journal.	
	REFERENCES in text are not to the style of the journal.	
	CONCLUSION section needs to be indicated and labelled appropriately.	
	REFERENCES at end of manuscript are not in the order and style of the journal.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	This is a worthwhile study subject.	
	The authors have shown useful information and the means to gather clinical data from a health facility.	
	The TABLES are useful, descriptive, and support the DISCUSSION.	
	Overall the manuscript is useful and would be of interest to readers.	
	The paper needs to be presented in the style of the journal (see above) before acceptance.	

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)

SCIENCEDOMAIN international www.sciencedomain.org



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? (If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ronald Bartzatt
Department, University & Country	University of Nebraska, USA

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)