



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_48366
Title of the Manuscript:	Next Generation of Artificial Heart: Permanent Maglev LVAD or TAH under 10k USDs
Type of the Article	Method Articles

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>The article "Next Generation of Artificial Heart: Permanent Maglev LVAD or TAH under 10k USDs" is dedicated to a description of heart pumps developed by the author.</p> <p>In general, the paper is well written and sufficiently illustrated. The investigation presented by the author is interesting and innovative.</p> <p>In my opinion, this review paper can be recommended for publication after minor revision.</p> <p>There are too many sections, in which there is too little text. This fact makes it difficult to understand the results of the study. It is recommended to reduce the number of sections and make a more detailed description of the results and methods of research.</p> <p>It is recommended to enhance chapter "Discussion".</p> <p>It is recommended to present the developed by the author heart pump with modern models of heart pumps developed by other scientists.</p> <p>It is recommended to add articles of 2015-2019 to the list of references.</p> <p>It is recommended to enhance a list of abbreviations, used in the article.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Margarita A. Sazonova
Department, University & Country	Institute of General Pathology and Pathophysiology, Russian Federation