#### SCIENCEDOMAIN international

www.sciencedomain.org



#### **SDI Review Form 1.6**

| Journal Name:            | Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research  |
|--------------------------|---|
| Manuscript Number:       | Ms_JAMMR_48582  |
| Title of the Manuscript: | PREVALENCE OF GBS SEROTYPES ASSOCIATED WITH ANOGENITAL COLONIZATION AMONG PREGNANT WOMEN IN JOS |
| Type of the Article      | Original Research Article   |

#### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that <u>NO</u> manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '<u>lack of Novelty'</u>, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

### **PART 1:** Review Comments

|                              | Reviewer's comment  | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|------------------------------|---|---|
| Compulsory REVISION comments | The study presents its relevance when analysing the GBS in a current context and necessary to understand the situation of a specific region of Nigeria. |   |
|                              | The introduction should signal the major diseases caused by GBS, the major complications for the child.   |   |
|                              | To close the introduction speaking the justification of this work, the relevance and importance of this result.   |   |
| Minor REVISION comments      | References are old, you should use references from the last 5 years.  |   |
| Optional/General comments    |   |   |

#### PART 2:

|   | Reviewer's comment   | <b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|---|--|--|
| And the second in this second in this second in the | (If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)      |  |
| Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?        | Voc. The recovered was approved by the othics correctted and complice with |  |
|   | Yes. The research was approved by the ethics committee and complies with   |  |
|   | the norms.   |  |

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)

# SCIENCEDOMAIN international www.sciencedomain.org



## **SDI Review Form 1.6**

## **Reviewer Details:**

| Name:                            | Isabela Fernanda Larios Fracarolli |
|----------------------------------|------------------------------------|
| Department, University & Country | Universidade de São Paulo, Brazil  |

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)