



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_48667
Title of the Manuscript:	Abnormal changes in some haemostatic parameters in first-ever stroke Patients in Port Harcourt, Nigeria
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>The authors undertook a prospective case-control study focusing to evaluate abnormal changes in some hemostatic parameters among 54 clinically diagnosed stroke patients. The study is potentially interesting but can be improved if the following considerations are addressed:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Due to the small size of the study, the title should clearly mention "preliminary findings". 2. Due to the absence of neuroimaging, the definition of "stroke" should be clearly stated in Patients and Methods. 	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Adrià Arboix
Department, University & Country	University of Barcelona, Spain