



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_48839
Title of the Manuscript:	PETROLEUM POLLUTION AND AGE-RELATED DECREASE NEUROPLASICITY OF THE DEVELOPING BRAIN OF THE OGOINI CHILDREN IN RIVERS STATE, NIGERIA
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments -	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> It is necessary to place in the methodology how was the identification of the mental and behavioral changes. What questionnaire was used? Has any expert identified mental illness in children? <p>Tables 3, 4, 5, 6 and 7 are formatted incorrectly.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Line 56: ;which could to...(?) neuroplasticity to the... The objectives of the study should be included at the end of the introduction. What is Ogoni? A city? A state? A country? Tables 1, 2 and 3 are not tables, they are frames. It is not necessary to place the calculation of the equation of the sample size, just put the equation, which means each element and the final result. ILines 117, 129 and 142: It is not necessary to place the source in the results. 	
Optional/General comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The introduction is too long. Some paragraph with basic information could be withdrawn. 	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Euclides Trindade-Filho
Department, University & Country	Núcleo de Ciências Biológica. Uncisal, Brazil