



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_48887
Title of the Manuscript:	Knowledge, Attitude, Practice Towards Breast Cancer and Breast Self-Examination Among Female Undergraduate Students in Karachi, Pakistan
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<b>In the abstract section</b> this sentence <b>Please write main points of the research methodology applied</b> should be removed as it is a construction for the authors. <b>In methodology section:</b> the last paragraph starting with Give <b>adequate information to allow the experiment</b> ..... to the end should be deleted as it a instructions for the authors. <b>In result section:</b> table 3 should be referred in the text headed as <b>Attitude and practice toward Screening</b> <b>In discussion section:</b> in last paragraph; what doses <b>KAP</b> and <b>NGOs</b> stand for?? It is better to provide the complete name and contraction between brackets	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	Few misspelling mistake in discussion section	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		



SDI Review Form 1.6

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	
Are there competing interest issues in this manuscript?		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Heba Gamal Abd El-Aziz Nasr
Department, University & Country	Al-Azhar University, Egypt