



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_49477
Title of the Manuscript:	Comparing diagnostic performance of Pronto dry rapid urease® and culture to histopathology among endoscopy patients at the Aga Khan University Hospital, Nairobi-Kenya
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sd-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Extensive reviewing of the english grammar is needed before possible publication by a native english speaker.</p> <p>Why authors have excluded patients with acute abdominal pain ? Were included only adult patients ?</p> <p>Describe random sampling (computer? Letter?) and inclusion ratio (1:2? 1:3 ? 1:X?)</p> <p>Please explain "overnight fast"</p> <p>Please described the maceration procedure (time? Nature of the buffer?...)</p> <p>What significance value been used for this study 5% or less?</p> <p>Please provide a table with demographic and clinical information about the included patients (age, place of living, place of birth, date of beginning of symptoms, severity of symptom, alimentation ...)</p> <p>Please provide some information about the discrepant results compared to histology. Are this samples well classified by the other tested method for example?</p> <p>Authors stated that the lack of performances could be due to antibiotic administration prior to sampling but it seems that antibiotics tacking is an exclusion criteria. Please explain.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	<p>Prefer not to use "it's"</p> <p>Please consider biologist more than just pathologists</p> <p>Change "pregant mother"</p> <p>"A study conducted by" + an author and not + a reference</p>	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>PICHON Maxime</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>University of Poitiers , France</i>