

SDI Review Form 1.6

-	Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research
	Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_49477
	Title of the Manuscript:	Comparing diagnostic performance of Pronto dry rapid urease [®] and culture to histopathology among endoscopy patien Nairobi-Kenya
	Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that <u>NO</u> manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '<u>lack of Novelty'</u>, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed highlight that part in the manu- his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Referencing style is not as per journal recommendations.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed v
		highlight that part in the manuse
		his/her feedback here)
Are there othing is such in this menuncrist?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	
Are there ethical issues in this manu		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Vijaya Krishnan
Department, University & Country	MGM College of Physiotherapy, Maharashtra University of Health Sciences, India

ents at the Aga Khan University Hospital,

ed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and nuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write

d with reviewer, correct the manuscript and uscript. It is mandatory that authors should write