



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medical and Pharmaceutical Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMPS_47113
Title of the Manuscript:	The Effect of Artemesin-Based Combination Therapy (ACT) Antimalaria Drugs on Liver Enzymes in Pregnancy
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	<p>Abstract</p> <p>Methodology; Line 6: data collected *were* analysed instead of *was*</p> <p>Results: What is* vs* in lines 2,3, 5 and 7? This should be written in full before abbreviation</p> <p>Introduction</p> <p>Line 2: citation after plasmodium.</p> <p>Line 3:* episodes* instead of *episode*</p> <p>Material and Method</p> <p>***1) What were the gestational ages of the pregnant women?</p> <p>2) What dose of the ACTs did you give to each group? It must be stated in Kg/body weight.</p> <p>3) Which of the ACTs did you use? It must be specified</p> <p>4)Specify the measurements that were carried out.</p> <p>5) Were the anthropometrics done before and after the research?</p> <p>Line 7:Anthropometry data were instead of *data was*</p> <p>Line 8:* Participant* instead of * participants*</p> <p>6) Please specify the type of Kits or methods used for establishing the positivity or the presence of malaria parasites in the blood</p> <p>Statistical Analysis</p> <p>Line 2: *The data were analysed* instead of * data was*</p> <p>Discussion</p> <p>** Don't you consider that lack of appetite for food due to malaria attack can cause reduction in weights of the pregnant patients which eventually can lead to reduction in BMI?</p> <p>Conclusion and Recommendation</p> <p>You did not state the normal therapeutic dose of the ACT used in order to state the dose is safe.</p> <p>A lot of researches have done on ACT with fetuses.</p> <p>Consent</p> <p>PLEASE REPHRASE THE CONSENT TO READ* CONSENTS WERE OBTAINED FROM THE PARTICIPATING PATIENTS*</p> <p>ETHICAL APPROVAL :</p> <p>Please rephrase the ethical approval to reflect that the consent and approval for the research was obtained from the ethical committee of the Research hospital according to the declaration you have stated.</p>	
Optional/General comments		



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

<http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Idorenyin Umoh</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>University of Uyo, Nigeria</i>