



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medical and Pharmaceutical Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMPS_49526
Title of the Manuscript:	Prevalence of Anaemia among Children of Various Age Groups–A Systematic Review
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Journal of Advances in Medical and Pharmaceutical Sciences Title: Prevalence of Anaemia among Children of Various Age Groups–A Systematic Review</p> <p>I have some advices.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Title should include "India". 2. "Studies were conducted during 2009 to 2017 year": Do you mean "we retrieved literatures published 2009-2017"? 3. "The proportion of severe anaemia among school children and adolescents are not observed in these studies.": This does not make sense. What do you mean? Do you mean "There were no difference?". 4. Introduction should be shortened. What you should state here are: 1) anemia is especially an important problem in children, 2) it is especially so in girls, and 3) Data regarding this issue in Indian population is scare. If you wish to hold what you stated in Introduction, then, move them to Discussion. 5. The study has finished and thus please use "past tense" and not "present tense". 6. Please state how you retrieved the articles. Usually we describe "index words" "language limitation" at least. 7. Mild vs moderate vs severe: Define them. 8. There are many linguistic errors. Please at least perform spell-checker. 9. I think that this is not a "systematic" review. I mean whether "all" literatures were retrieved is not clear. I hope that you should state this and also state that this is a "min-review" and not "comprehensive systematic review". If you disagree with this, strengthen your point. If you agree with me, state this meaning in Discussion and Title. 	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Shigeki Matsubara
Department, University & Country	Jichi Medical University, Japan