SCIENCEDOMAIN *international*
www.sciencedomain.org

SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Cancer and Tumor International
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_JCTI_46585**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Comparative Analysis between Anthracycline Based Regimen and Platin Based Regimen in Neoadjuvant Setting for Triple-negative Breast Cancer : A Single Institutional Retrospective Study**
Type of the Article	**Original Research Article**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty'**, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	**The idea and the aim was very innovative and interesting. The manscrept was written well.** **But the research was about medical trail on human is there any ethical aproof from those patients?**	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	If there were an histopathologic images befor and after the treatment it will be more sufficient for the research	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	*(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)* There wasn't an ethical a proof from patients	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	***Nora N.Esmaiel***
Department, University & Country	***National Research Center, Egypt***