



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Cancer and Tumor International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JCTI_47377
Title of the Manuscript:	TECHNOLOGICAL APPLICATIONS IN SKIN CANCER PREVENTION
Type of the Article	Review Paper

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) The article is poorly written with numerous grammatical errors and erroneous statements. 2) Kindly explain your study in abstract in a proper way. 3) The abstract of the article is vague and non conclusive, kindly rewrite it. 4) Kindly remove the reference from the abstract, ideally the abstract of the article should be devoid of references 5) Few citations are too old to be considered, recently Bajpai M et al have reported a case of malignant melanoma, kindly add the article in citations for your convenience the citation of that particular article is given below (in red) Bajpai M, Pardhe N, Chandolia B. Malignant melanoma of oral cavity. J Ayub Med Coll Abbottabad. 2017;29(1):183 6) Kindly write the materials and methods properly. 7) Kindly write about the dermoscopy in the early diagnosis of malignant melanoma and other skin lesions. 8) Kindly write the inclusion and exclusion criteria. 9) Kindly describe the rationale of the study 	
Minor REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) Grammatical errors throughout the manuscript. 	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

<http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>



SDI Review Form 1.6

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Manas Bajpai</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>Nizam's Institute of Medical Sciences, India</i>