### SCIENCEDOMAIN international

www.sciencedomain.org



### **SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	Journal of Cancer and Tumor International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JCTI_48957
Title of the Manuscript:	Metronomic low dose leucovorin- fluorouracil versus supportive treatment for patients with recurrent or metastatic colorectal cancer
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

## **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that <u>NO</u> manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '<u>lack of Novelty'</u>, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link: (http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

### **PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The authors have shown that the administration of low dose of leucovorin 20mg/m² plus 5-flourouracil 425mg/m² to a group of CRC patients can have some beneficial compared to supportive care without chemotherapy. Indeed, these patients can show a better overal survival (OS) and time to progression (TTP).	
Minor REVISION comments	English editing should be really improved.  The meaning of the abbreviations in the abstract should be explained.  The abbrevation OAS sould be substitute with OS as indicated in several papers in the literature. Pleas e use the superscript for m2 and do not write m2. This is not right.  In figure 2, I barely see the lines or the label of group A or group B censored, please indicate them better.  Please indicate in the table the localization of the CRC tumor (right, trasversum, left colon) because it is possible that also the localization of the tumor can unfluence the observed effect. In addition, if any peculiar histotypic chararcteristic is present, please mention it in the text. Indeed, all these features can be useful to reinforce the message of the paper.	
Optional/General comments	The main message of the paper is clear. The beneficial of chemotherapy treatment is not really strong. The cohort of patients analyzed is limited.	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

# **Reviewer Details:**

Name:	Alessandro Poggi
Department, University & Country	IRCCS AOU San Martino, Italy

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)