# Estimation of genetic variability for quantitative traits in Rice (*Oryza sativa* L.)

5

1

2 3 4

## 6 Abstract:

An experiment was conducted in Agricultural and Horticultural Research Station, Kathalagere, University of Agricultural and Horticultural Sciences, Shivamogga. The experiment was amposed of 64 rice genotypes laid in 8x8 simple lattice design conducted experiment *kharif* 2015. The traits panicles per square metre and yield kg/ha had higher GCV and PCV as well as high genetic variability and phenotypic variability. Yield kg/ha had high heritability coupled with GCV and PCV.

- 13 **Key words**: Rice, Variability, Genotypes
- 14

## 15 Introduction

The genus Oryza includes a total of 25 recognized species out of which 23 are wild 16 species and two, Oryza sativa and Oryza glaberrima are cultivated (Brar 2003). 17 18 as a perennial crop and can produce a ration crop for up to 30 years but cultivated as annual 19 crop and grown in tropical and temperate countries over a wide range of soil and climatic 20 condition. Rice and agriculture are still fundamental to the economic development of most of 21 the Asian countries. In much of Asia, rice plays a central role in politics, society and culture, directly or indirectly employs more people than any other sector. A healthy rice industry, 22 23 especially in Asia's poorer countries, is crucial to the livelihoods of rice producers and consumers alike. Farmers need to achieve good yields without harming the environment so 24 25 that they can make a good living while providing the rice-eating people with a high-quality, affordable staple. Underpinning this, a strong rice research sector can help to reduce costs, 26 improve production and ensure environmental sustainability. Indeed, rice research has been a 27 key to productivity and livelihood 28

29 Yield enhancement is the major breeding objective in rice breeding programmes and 30 knowledge on the nature and magnitude of the genetic variation governing the inheritance of 31 quantitative characters like yield and its components is essential for effective genetic 32 improvement. A critical analysis of the genetic variability parameters, namely, Genotypic

## UNDER PEER REVIEW

Coefficient of Variability (GCV), Phenotypic Coefficient of Variability (PCV), heritability and genetic advance for different traits of economic importance is a major pre-requisite for any plant breeder to work with crop improvement programs. The present investigation was undertaken in this context to elucidate information on variability, heritability, genetic advance in promising rice genotypes. A good knowledge of genetic resources might also help in identifying desirable genotypes for future hybridization program.

Crop plant diseases caused by various pathogens such as viruses, bacteria, oomycetes and fungi pose major challenges to global crop production and food security. Global climate change is predicted to further increase the negative impact of biotic stresses. Higher temperatures and erratic weather pattern are likely to change the geographical pathogen distribution.

### 44 Material and Methods:

45 The experiment was carried out during *kharif*, 2015 at Agricultural and Horticultural Research Station, Kathalagere. The material comprised of 58 elite rice genotypes sown in a 46 47 simple lattice design with two replications with spacing of 20 x 15 cm. Data were recorded on five randomly selected plants in each entry in each replications for the trait 2 ys to 50% 48 flowering, Plant height (cm), number of panicles per square metre, except value which 49 recorded in kg/plot converted into kg/ha. The data bjected to INDOSTAT software to 50 estimate Genetic coefficient of variation (%), phenotypic coefficient of variation (%), 51 Heritability (%) (Broad sense), Genetic Advance and Genetic Advance as percent of mean. 52 53 The estimates for variability treated as per the categorization proposed by Siva Subramanian 54 and Madhavamenon (4), heritability and genetic advance as percent of mean estimates 55 according to criteria proposed by Johnson et al. (2).

#### 56 **Results and discussion:**

In the present study alysis of variance revealed the existence of significant 57 58 differences among genotypes for all traits studied. The mean, variability estimates *i.e.*, Genetic coefficient of variation (%), phenotypic coefficient of variation (%), Heritability (%) 59 60 (Broad sense), Genetic Advance as percent of mean are presented in Table 1. All traits under studied have higher phenotypic coefficient of variation than genotypic coefficient of 61 variation. The magnitude of phenotypic coefficient of variation and genotypic coefficient of 62 variation was moderate to high for the traits prhicles per square metre and yield (Roy *et al.* 63 2001). The high PCV served for yield per hectare (Roy, 2001). The high GCV obtained for 64

number of panicles per square metre indicating  $\bigcirc$  improvement is possible through 65 66 selection. Genotypic coefficient of variation measures the extent of genetic variability percent 67 for a trait but does not assess the amount of genetic variation which is heritable. Heritability 68 estimates were high for all the characters. The heritability estimates along with genetic advance can be useful to predict effect Delection in selection programmes. The traits like 69 days to fifty percent flowering, yield (Thirumala rao et al. 2014) and plant height exhibited 70 71 high magnitude of genetic advance as percent of mean. The traits plant height, days to fifty 72 percent flowering, panicles per square metre and yield have high heritability along with genetic advance as percent of mean indicate these characters attributable to additive gene 73 effects which are fixable realing that improvement in these characters would be possible 74 75 through direct selection.

76 Domestication and modern breeding has reduced genetic diversity of crop plants 77 (Tanksley and McCouch, 1997) by replacing landraces and traditional farmer cultivars with 78 modern, high yielding varieties. Although this has allowed filtering out genes that cause 79 detrimental traits, the reduction in genetic variation now limits the options of plant breeders 80 to develop new varieties with the existing germplasm. New varieties are constantly needed to 81 meet consumer demands and for protection of crops against highly unpredictable biotic and 82 abiotic stresses that are encountered in agricultural systems. Breeding of improved varieties 83 requires the identification of novel functional genes or alleles, and this calls for making 84 effective use of our global crop genetic resources available in seed bank collections. These 85 seed collections represent a wide range of genetic diversity that is critical for maintaining and enhancing the yield potential and other quality traits, because they can provide new sources 86 87 of resistance and tolerance to various stresses. Many of the genes for highly relevant traits in 88 modern crop cultivars have been transferred from their wild relatives and landraces, for 89 example the Rht and sd-1 genes that confer dwarf stature in rice and wheat, respectively 90 (Hedden, 2003; Hoisington et al., 1999). In the case of rice, the six wild species O. rufipogon, O. longistaminata, O. nivara, O. breviligulata, O. glumaepatula, and O. meridionalis 91 92 together with the two cultivated species O. sativa and O. glaberrima have been identified as 93 the primary gene pool for rice cultivars because genes can be easily transferred between these 94 species (Khush, 1997). The wild species have been used as a source of many relevant genes 95 for rice breeding, and O. rufipogon has been suggested as source of broad-spectrum rice blast 96 resistance genes (Ram et al., 2007).

97 **Conclusion:** 

98 Fifty eight rice genotypes along with one standard checks were evaluated for four yield
99 and yield attributing traits. Results of the present investigation on variability, heritability and
100 genetic advance indicated a scope for improvement of grain yield through selection.

- 101 **References:**
- Brar DS, Khush GS (2003) Utilization of wild species of genus Oryzae in rice
   improvement. In: J S Nanda, Sharma SD Monograph on Genus Oryzae 283309.
- ii. Garrett, K. A., Dendy, S. P., Frank, E. E., Rouse, M. N., and Travers, S. E. (2006).
  Climate change effects on plant disease: genomes to ecosystems. Annu. Rev.
  Phytopathol. 44, 489–509. doi: 10.1146/annurev.phyto.44.070505.143420.
- 107 iii. Ghazanfar, M.U., A. Habib and S.T. Sahi, 2009. Screening of rice
  108 germplasm against *Pyricularia oryzae* the cause of rice blast disease. *Pak. J.*109 *Phytopathol.*, 21: 41-44.
- iv. Hedden, P. (2003). The genes of the green revolution. Trends Genet. 19, 5–9. doi:
  10.1016/S0168-9525(02)00009-4.
- 112 Hoisington, D., Khairallah, M., Reeves, T., Ribaut, J. M., Skovmand, B., Taba, S., et V. al. (1999). Plant genetic resources: what can they contribute toward increased crop 113 Natl. 96. 5937-5943. 114 productivity?. Proc. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. doi: 10.1073/pnas.96.11.5937 115
- vi. Johnson, H.W. Robinson, H.F. and Costock, R.E., Estimates of genetic and
  environmental variability in Soyabean. *Agronomy Journal*, 47(7): 314-318 (1955).
- 118 vii. Khush, G. S. (1997). Origin, dispersal, cultivation and variation of rice. Plant Mol.
- viii. Milus, E. A., Kristensen, K., and Hovmoller, M. S. (2009). Evidence for increased
  aggressiveness in a recent widespread strain of *Puccinia striiformis f. sp tritici*causing stripe rust of wheat. Phytopathology 99, 89–94. doi: 10.1094/PHYTO99-10089.
- ix. Ram, T., Majumder, N. D., Mishra, B., Ansari, M. M., and Padmavathi, G. (2007).
  Introgression of broad-spectrum blast resistance gene(s) into cultivated rice (*Oryza* sativa ssp indica) from wild rice O. rufipogon. Curr. Sci. 92, 225–230.
- x. Roy, B. Hossain, M. and Hossain, F., Genetic variability in yield components of rice
   (*Oryza sativa* L.). *Environment and Ecology*. 19(1): 186-189 (2001).
- xi. Singh S. K., Bhati P. K., Sharma A, Sahu V (2015) Super hybrid rice in China and
  India: current status and future prospects. *Int J Agric and Biol* 17: 221-232.1. Genetic

130		variability and association analysis in rice. International Journal of Applied Biology				
131		and Pharmaceutical Technology. 5(2): 63-65.				
132	xii.	Siva Subramanian, S. and Madhavamenon, P., Combining ability in rice. Madras				
133		Agricultural Journal. 60: 419-421 (1973)				
134	xiii.	iii. Tanksley, S. D., and McCouch, S. R. (1997). Seed banks and molecular map				
135		unlocking genetic potential from the wild. Science 277, 1063-1066. doi:				
136		10.1126/science.277.5329.1063.				
137	xiv.	Thirumala Rao, V. Chandra Mohan, Y. Bhadru, D. Bharathi, D. and Venkanna, V.				
138		(2014). Genetic variability and Association analysis of Rice. International Journal of				
139		applied biology and Pharmaceutical Technology. 5(2): 63-65				
140	XV.	Vaithiyalingan, M. and Nadarajan, N., Genetic variability, heritability and genetic				
141		advance in F <sub>1</sub> population of inter sub-specific crosses of rice. Crop Research. 31(3):				
<mark>142</mark>		476-477 (2006).				
<mark>143</mark>	xvi.	Venkanna, V., Lingaiah, N., Raju, Ch and Rao, V.T., Genetic studies for quality traits				
144		of F population of rice (Oryza sativa L.). International Journal of Applied Biology				
<mark>145</mark>		and Pharmaceutical Technology. 5(2): 125-127 (2014).				
<mark>1</mark> 46						

- 147 Table 1: Rice genotypes, Grain type and blast disease reaction during the experiment
- 148 in research station.

Traits Parameters	Days to fifty percent flowering	Plant height (cm)	Panicles per m <sup>2</sup>	Yield (kg/ha)
Mean	89.25	90.18	364.64	6454.82
Genotypic variability	871.83	921.95	15279.15	4730149.00
Phenotypic variability	875.01	928.36	15328.48	4740608.00
GCV	33.08	33.66	33.90	33.69
PCV	33.14	33.78	33.95	33.73
Heritability	0.99	0.99	0.997	0.998
Genetic advance	60.71	62.33	254.22	4475.00
Genetic advance as percent mean	68.02	69.11	69.71	69.33

149