



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_46328
Title of the Manuscript:	Postharvest pepper conservation with different storage strategies
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. More precisely write correlation linkage among the treatments context in the introduction part. 2. Why higher concentration of SA was better compare to lower conc., explain? 3. More recent references needed both introduction as well as discussion parts. 4. If possible add photo/ image of peppers before and after treatments. It would be more reliable.. 5. For writing references it must be follow journal style 	
Minor REVISION comments	Carefully rewrite the typography of the whole paper.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Mohammad Shah Jahan
Department, University & Country	Sher-e-Bangla Agricultural University, Bangladesh