



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_46465
Title of the Manuscript:	Influence of Irrigation Depths in the Growth of Chrysanthemum Puritan Cultivar, Cultivated in Pots, Under Open Field Conditions, in the Northwest Region of Espírito Santo
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Materials and methods: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Author can include some photographs which will add more value to the article • Author should follow uniform Reference format 	
Minor REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Certain typographical and grammatical errors can be corrected 	
Optional/General comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The study is new and irrigation level to crops (including flower crops) is one among the necessary panacea at the present situation. • Introduction is relevant to the topic with sufficient data • Materials and Methods are clear and the design chosen is good and appropriate to the study. • Tables are well arranged with observed data • Results and discussion are good with proper evidences • The results are well expressed by means of graphs is appreciable 	

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

<http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details) No ethical issues found in the article	



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Uma Maheswari.M</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, India</i>