



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_46500
Title of the Manuscript:	BARK AND FRUIT EXTRACTS <i>Anadenanthera colubrina</i> (Vell.), <i>Mimosa tenuiflora</i> (Willd.) AND <i>Acacia mearnsii</i> (Wild.) SPECIES
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The font of title must be uniform. The article doesn't fall within the scope of journal. Methodology has been written poorly and doesn't follow the international standards. Writing style is poor and equation has been written in inappropriate way. Experimental design and data analysis are both different things and cannot be placed under the same headings. Moreover the sequence of writing the paper is very poor. Why you perform turkey test? Conclusion is incomplete. Graphical representation of results is too weak to understand? The graph must be statistically correct. The results were given in percentage and unable to find the mean value, standard deviation or standard error measurement. The results is also given in percentage which is not right way	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Muhammad Shahzad Aslam
Department, University & Country	Xiamen University, China