



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_46528
Title of the Manuscript:	Performance of Corn Cultivars in Different Row Spacing and Types of Fertilizations
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	The document is well written and argued. Lines 25 and 31. The scientific name should be in italic. The authors should report the commercial name and the provider of organo-mineral and mineral fertilizers. A x C x Rs. What is the meaning of A in Tab, 1? The biggest critical point is that the work was performed just in a single year and for open field crops more than one year should be tested to considerer the environmental effects.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Domenico Ronga
Department, University & Country	University of Modena and Reggio Emilia, Italy