



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_46658
Title of the Manuscript:	FLORISTIC AND PHYTOOSOCIOLOGICAL SURVEY IN A CAATINGA FRAGMENT UNDER EXTENSIVE GRAZING IN PATOS-PB MUNICIPALITY
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>2. Material and methods: first para-Correct coordinates with directions</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Figure 1. – Revise location map with details and marking place of the study • phytosociological parameters phytosociological parameters- methods area based upon the reference; Why this methods is proposed however there are other methods exist for this study so detailed methodology required in methodology section. <p>3. Results and discussion:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Table 1. Recheck calculation especially IVI • Para.. As for the superiority of these species in the phytosociological parameters..... Very long sentence-Difficult to understand-Revise and simplify in 2-3 sentence of the para. • Specify major findings and discussion of floristic composition and diversity • As review has discussed environmental variables and anthropogenic activities; better to focus this issue discussing with findings of the study • Elaborate concluding part focusing way forward for the researchers for further study <p>Reference</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Change Authors name small letter-Follow authors guideline for referencing 	
Minor REVISION comments	<p>Language and grammatical errors exist in this paper-Revise</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Better to include more review of works with previous methodological approach for this study and change in diversity due to manmade/natural impacts 2. Revise abstract omitting general description 3. Previous findings of the similar researches and work done in the study area 	
Optional/General comments	<p>More works is required from field level data, enumeration, interpretation and representation</p>	

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

<http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ramesh Prasad Bhatt
Department, University & Country	Nepal