



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_46671
Title of the Manuscript:	Natural Regeneration in Tropical Rainforest, Northeastern Brazil
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Suggest some strong recommendations to curb the anthropic conditions that are disturbing the tropical rainforest in the region of Brazil.</p> <p>The conclusion is too brief and fails to give a thorough summation of the entire study. It needs to be recast.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	Figure 1 should be increased in size to improve legibility.	
Optional/General comments	<p>This is an excellent and carefully written paper. The introduction is rich and clearly provides a strong theoretical framework for the study, clearly delineating the exact research gap and the association of the study to existing ones in the field of natural regeneration status of forests. The methodology is robust and allows for replication of the results by any researcher who may challenge the results of the study.</p> <p>The discussions are scholarly and comprehensive. However, the authors have failed to put forward to policy makers proactive recommendations to arrest the scary menace of anthropic activities in the tropical rainforest in Brazil. This needs remediation after which the paper would merit publication.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Dickson Adom</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>Kwame Nkrumah University of Science and Technology, Ghana</i>