



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_46923
Title of the Manuscript:	Nematicidal Properties of Moringa Oleifera, Chromolaena Odorata and Panicum maximum and their Control Effects on Pathogenic Nematodes of Yam
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>The manuscript is accepted with minor changes. The study is complete, has scientific quality, good support statistical and parameters evaluated adequate.</p> <p>Title: Is ok</p> <p>Abstract: Is ok. Little corrections were done in the manuscript (please check).</p> <p>Introduction: Write what means AGDP. Some corrections were done in the manuscript.</p> <p>Materials and methods: Add latitude, longitude, altitude and rainfall in the location experimental. To describe the main characteristics agronomic of Puna yam cultivar. Some corrections were done in the manuscript (check).</p> <p>Results and discussion. Are ok. Also Tables are clear. Only little corrections were done in the manuscript (check)</p> <p>Conclusion: Is ok.</p> <p>Reference: Is ok. References are complete. Some corrections were done in the manuscript (check).</p>	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

<http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Raúl Leonel Grijalva-Contreras</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>Instituto Nacional de Investigaciones Forestales Agrícolas y Pecuarias , México</i>