
SCIENCEDOMAIN international www.sciencedomain.org

SDI Review Form 1.6



General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that <u>NO</u> manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '<u>lack of Novelty'</u>, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

PART 1: Review Comments


	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agree highlight that part in the many his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	All revisions should be conducted as indicated in manuscript before acceptance for publication.	
Optional/General comments		

<u>PART 2:</u>

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed
		highlight that part in the manus
		his/her feedback here)
	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Akwasi Asamoah
Department, University & Country	University of Exeter, UK



d with reviewer, correct the manuscript and uscript. It is mandatory that authors should write