



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Experimental Agriculture International</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_47766
Title of the Manuscript:	POST-FLOWERING CHEMICAL THINNING AND INFLUENCE ON CELL DIVISION AND FINAL NUMBER OF FRUIT CELLS IN 'MAXI GALA' AND 'FUJI SUPREMA' APPLE TREES
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link: (<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	The manuscript, aimed to give major information about the possible anatomical and histological interferences on fruit caused by chemical thinning product, focused on two apple varieties. Material and Methods it is not clear. The experimental design need to be better explained. How many treatment for each variety? Could you associate the acronym T1, T2...T8 to the type of treatment? You tell of 2 year of experiment but when you explain the chemical treatment (lines 13-15 of the Material and Methods) speak of the year 2016 and treatment 2017??? A better description of how variable are evaluated could be interesting in material and methods. How do you determined the fruit growth rate? Explain better the use of pachymeter. On the base of the Material and methods and results, I would change the title into: Influence of post flowering chemical thinning on fruit development and quality of the apple varieties. Conclusions could be extended according to the main results obtained.	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	When you speak about an acronym for the first time the complete meaning is needed (eg. DAPF: Day after full flowering???) Lines numbers are needed to facilitate the comments...	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	Giuseppina Caracciolo
Department, University & Country	Italy