



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_47797
Title of the Manuscript:	Morphology and growth of new potato genotypes under different doses NPK
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sd-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>There are many suggestions and corrections in the paper. All of them shown and given on the paper. Material method part should be detail written. there are many deficient. Title and paper content is incompatible each other. Literature is deficient.</p> <p>In this paper is problem unspecified. What is the problem? It should be written in abstract and paper. And in the result should be shown the solutions.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	-	
Optional/General comments	The article should be required major revision. In the paper, literature should be added and rewritten it is not suitable for printing in this way	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Şahane Funda Arslanoglu
Department, University & Country	Ondokuz Mayıs University, Turkey