



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_48027
Title of the Manuscript:	Phosphite-based products in the <i>in vitro</i> Colletotrichum musae control
Type of the Article	<u>Original Research Article</u>

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The paper is important because it provides information about the use of more innocuous technologies for the environment and human health, in the control of Anthracnose. The objective of work is clear and precise. The materials and methods are presented in a clear and complete way, with a correct experimental design The results are presented clearly and simply in the text and in the tables, as well as correctly analyzed. The conclusions, although correct and highlight the results obtained, could be extended by proposing new lines of work to corroborate and adjust these results at crop level.	
Minor REVISION comments	The research is well planned, provides important results to generate technologies for practical use, they are properly analyzed. After so accurate reading of the results obtained, the conclusions, although correct, seem poor, so the wording could be improved.	
Optional/General comments		



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Martín María Silva Rossi</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>Estudio Agronómico, Argentina</i>