



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_48113
Title of the Manuscript:	Experimental Investigations Problems of Drift in Aerial Spraying
Type of the Article	Review

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Good review paper fit for publication by JEAI. However, the paper has been poorly articulated and its findings poorly discussed. Even the abstract is inarticulate, giving the reader no clue of what the paper's findings are.</p> <p>The paper should therefore be well articulated and its findings properly discussed before it could be considered for publication.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	<p>Most of the research works cited in the paper date to as far back as the 1970s, 1980s, 1990s, and early 2000s which does not do justice to the paper. More should have been done to sought for and cite the most recent research works (2013 – 2019) related to the study in order to give the paper more relevance in today's context.</p>	
Optional/General comments	<p>Good review paper that could be published by JEAI. However, the aforementioned comments and evaluations should be imperatively taken into consideration before the paper is considered for publication.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Nyong Princely Awazi
Department, University & Country	University of Dschang, Cameroon