



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_48152
Title of the Manuscript:	Physico-chemical quality of beet in function of silicon pre-harvesting application and irrigation water salinity
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The topic of the manuscript should read "Effect of preharvest application of Silicon and saline water on postharvest quality of beet". 2. The scientific name of beet should be written in bracket immediately after the word in the topic. 3. The abstract is devoid of results. Please, include the results in this section. 4. The first line of the introduction should introduce the crop, its scientific name, the family it belongs to, uses, nutritional value, economic importance etc. 5. The materials and method should have sub titles thus; Experimental location, experiment 1, experiment 2, experimental design for both experiments 1 and 2, determination of physico-chemical parameters, data analysis. 6. The methods of physico-chemical analysis should be detailed and not a summary a presented. Give a step by step procedure for each parameter. 7. Tables 1, 2 and figure1 should be moved to appropriate points in the result and discussion segment. 8. Please, make compulsory grammatical corrections in the entire manuscript. 9. Arrange the manuscript according to journal specifications. 	
Minor REVISION comments	Authors need to rearrange the manuscript as suggested above to enhance understanding .	
Optional/General comments	Good work by authors.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Liamngee Kator
Department, University & Country	Benue State University, Nigeria