



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_48525
Title of the Manuscript:	Effects of Fertilization in Biomass and Nutrients of Eucalyptus urophylla S.T. Blake in Arenized Soil on Pampa Biome: Macronutrients
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Good original research paper very much fit for publication by JEAI. However the paper needs to be well articulated before it is considered for publication. Poor articulation of ideas is the main flaw the paper has. Even the abstract is not well articulated which does not speak very well of the paper considering that the abstract is the gateway into the main body of the paper.</p> <p>Equally, more details should be added and more scientific papers sought for and cited at the level of the introduction.</p> <p>Last but not the least, the discussion of the findings of the paper should be done in a comparative fashion i.e. comparing and contrasting the findings of the study with the findings of other authors who have carried out related research. Hence the most recent publications that fall in line with the subject matter of the study should be sought for and used to discuss the findings of the study. This will give the findings more scientific robustness.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	Good original research paper fit for publication by JEAI. However, the aforementioned comments and evaluations should be taken into account before the paper is considered for publication.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Nyong Princely Awazi
Department, University & Country	University Of Dschang, Cameroon