SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_48543
Title of the Manuscript:	How many days after defoliation should the Piatăpalisade grass and Quêniaguineagrassbe fertilized with nitrogen?
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty'**, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	I want to congratulate the authors for a properly executed piece of study. The study followed the scientific approach to research. The only concerns I have are those of spelling and grammatical errors. For instance line 26 is unclear. Within the abstract leaf blade was spelt bleade. I suggest the authors send the article for proof reading by an English teacher to correct grammatical and spelling errors.	
Minor REVISION comments	NA	
Optional/General comments	An adequate piece of work that is worthy of publication.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	*(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)*	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	*Andell Edwards*
Department, University & Country	*Trinidad and Tobago*