SCIENCEDOMAIN international

www.sciencedomain.org



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_48543
Title of the Manuscript:	How many days after defoliation should the Piatãpalisade grass and Quêniaguineagrassbe fertilized with nitrogen?
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that <u>NO</u> manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '<u>lack of Novelty'</u>, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Manuscript Titles are never questions but descriptive. Change to "Investigating optimal day for nitrogen fertilization on Piatapalisade and Queniaguinea grass after defoliation"	
Minor REVISION comments	Abstract can be applied between the the cut dayplz correct limiting to pasture productivityremove "to" Introduction Line 17: In Brazil, pastures are thelong sentencebreak it up In 2017 the Panicum & The forage grassesjoin the two paragraphs together the goal was to define the most appropriatethe goal was to "investigate" the most At every cut, forage above & Twenty-five days after & The standardization cut wasjoin the 3 paragraphs together. all addressed one idea. Line 116: 150µgSeparate Line 120: =(y-0,00820)÷(0,00094)was that correct been a comma instead of a dot? results and discussions were technically sound with logical flow. Conclusion is a continuous running sentence without paragraphing references are very good To improve readability plz add a picture of Piatăpalisade grass and Quêniaguinea grass.	
Optional/General comments		

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)

SCIENCEDOMAIN international www.sciencedomain.org



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

		Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Nkwoada Amarachi Udoka
Department, University & Country	Federal University of Technology, Nigeria

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)