



**SDI FINAL EVALUATION FORM 1.1**

**PART 1:**

Journal Name:	<u><a href="#">Journal of Experimental Agriculture International</a></u>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_49030
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>NTFP Utilization and its impact on Poverty Reduction among Rural Women in Ondo State, Nigeria</b>
Type of Article:	

**PART 2:**

<b>FINAL EVALUATOR'S comments on revised paper (if any)</b>	<b>Authors' response to final evaluator's comments</b>
<p>The conclusions presented in the summary should be reviewed.                      Replace keywords that are already in the title                      In the introduction, the evaluation and comparison technique should be better explored as to the potentiality and applications to the study population;                      The figure 1 mentioned in the text is not shown!                      Describe the areas: are they protective? of conservation? government administration? Community policies?                      The analytical description is not presented in the text. Review section.                      Add information about where and when in the table titles.                      Add columns with the number of people and women from each community in table 1                      I do not understand, are not the authors presenting results? Who is Table 2? Review essay !!                      Ethical care is not mentioned. Where and how were the participants addressed or answered the questionnaires? allowed their individual participation in research?                      Has the study been approved by an ethics committee?                      Just like in the summary, the conclusion in the article does not close ...                        Only 1/3 of the references are current, from the last five years. Update.</p>	<p>The conclusions presented in the summary should be reviewed. <b>Review not performed</b>                      Replace keywords that are already in the title <b>Review not performed</b>                      In the introduction, the evaluation and comparison technique should be better explored as to the potentiality and applications to the study population;                      The figure 1 mentioned in the text is not shown! <b>ok</b>                      Describe the areas: are they protective? of conservation? government administration? Community policies? <b>ok</b>                      The analytical description is not presented in the text. Review section. <b>ok</b>                      Add information about where and when in the table titles. <b>Review not performed</b>                      Add columns with the number of people and women from each community in table 1 <b>Review not performed</b>                      I do not understand, are not the authors presenting results? Who is Table 2? Review essay !!                      Ethical care is not mentioned. Where and how were the participants addressed or answered the questionnaires? allowed their individual participation in research? Has the study been approved by an ethics committee? <b>Review not performed</b>                      Just like in the summary, the conclusion in the article does not close ... <b>Review not performed</b>                        Only 1/3 of the references are current, from the last five years. Update. <b>Review not performed</b></p>

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Alexandre Mariot</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Drimys Agroambiental, Brazil</b>