



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_49030
Title of the Manuscript:	NTFP Utilization and its impact on Poverty Reduction among Rural Women in Ondo State, Nigeria
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>The conclusions presented in the summary should be reviewed. Replace keywords that are already in the title In the introduction, the evaluation and comparison technique should be better explored as to the potentiality and applications to the study population; The figure 1 mentioned in the text is not shown! Describe the areas: are they protective? of conservation? government administration? Community policies? The analytical description is not presented in the text. Review section. Add information about where and when in the table titles. Add columns with the number of people and women from each community in table 1 I do not understand, are not the authors presenting results? Who is Table 2? Review essay !! Ethical care is not mentioned. Where and how were the participants addressed or answered the questionnaires? allowed their individual participation in research? Has the study been approved by an ethics committee? Just like in the summary, the conclusion in the article does not close ...</p> <p>Only 1/3 of the references are current, from the last five years. Update.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	See comments	See comments
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:



SDI Review Form 1.6

Name:	<i>Junir Antonio Lutinski</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>Universidade Comunitária da Região de Chapecó, Brazil</i>