SCIENCEDOMAIN international

www.sciencedomain.org



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_49047
Title of the Manuscript:	Overview of the components of soy production in the state of Paraná and in two decades
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of 'lack of Novelty', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)

SCIENCEDOMAIN international www.sciencedomain.org



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Type of article: 1) Is this a review article or original research article? The design and methods suggest the later. Kindly check again Title: 1) Is soy the same with soybeans/soybean. Please be consistent with the applicable one. 2) Recast the title in line with aim of the article. Abstract: 1) Present the abstract in block form with its key component such as introduction, aim/objective, methods, results, and conclusion 2) Review the highlighted portions and clearly recast them for better understanding. Also take not of spelling and grammatical errors 3) What is the relationship between Brazil and Paraná?	
Minor REVISION comments	Introduction: 1) Line 24&25 are ambiguous. 2) Soybear? Be consistent with soy, soybean, soybeans Material and methods: 1) Double check this abbreviation. IBGE/ 2) equation 2?? Number your equations approriately. 3) a? This symbol is not found in your equations 4) [72]? Check again Results and discussion: 1) The title of the tables and figures are too lengthy. Recast them. 2) and years? Be specific 3) it is possible to observe? ? it was observed 4) line 94 is ambiguous. 5) The area planted to soybeans?? 6) Is there difference between production and productivity? 7) over the years.?? Be specific 8) line 172 is ambiguous. 9) Recast 180-183 10) evolution ?? 11) recast line 200 – 216 12) influence? Find the appropriate term 13) the basket of inputs,?? Reference: 1) check the manuscript preparation guide for format of reference.	

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)

SCIENCEDOMAIN international www.sciencedomain.org



SDI Review Form 1.6

Optional/General comments	

PART 2:

		Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	F.J. Owuna
Department, University & Country	Usmanu Danfodiyo University, Nigeria

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)